

# WORM GEAR

$$a = [(z_2/q) + 1] \sqrt[3]{\left[\frac{540}{(z_2/q)[\sigma_c]}\right]^2 \frac{[M_t]}{10}} \quad \dots (8.31)$$

## 8.21. DESIGN PROCEDURE

Using  
Basic Equation

## WORM GEAR

1. Select the suitable combination of materials for worm and worm wheel, consulting Tables 8.3 and 8.9.
2. Calculate the initial design torque  $[M_t]$ . Use  $[M_t] = M_t \times K \times K_d$ . Initially assume  $K \cdot K_d = 1$ .
3. **Selection of  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ :**
  - ✓ Select the number of starts of worm depending on the efficiency requirement, consulting Table 8.4.
  - ✓ Then,  $z_2 = i \times z_1$ .
4. **Selection of  $[\sigma_b]$  and  $[\sigma_c]$ :**

Select the design bending stress and design contact stress of the worm wheel from Table 8.9 and 8.10 respectively. To select  $[\sigma_c]$ , initially take  $v_s = 3$  m/s.
5. **Calculate the centre distance (a)** using the equation 8.31. Choose initially diameter factor,  $q = 11$ .  $q$  can vary from 8 to 13.

6. Calculate the axial module ( $m_x$ ) using the relation  $m_x = 2a / (q + z_x)$ . Then, choose the nearest higher standard axial module from Table 5.8.

7. Revise centre distance ( $a$ ) using the relation  $a = 0.5 m_x (q + z_2)$

8. Calculate  $d$ ,  $v$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $v_s$ :

✓ Pitch diameter ( $d$ ):

$$d_1 = q \times m_x \quad \text{and} \quad d_2 = z_2 \times m_x$$

✓ Pitch line velocity ( $v$ ):

$$v_1 = \frac{\pi d_1 N_1}{60} \quad \text{and} \quad v_2 = \frac{\pi d_2 N_2}{60}$$

✓ Lead angle ( $\gamma$ ):

$$\gamma = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{z_1}{q} \right)$$

✓ Sliding velocity:

$$v_s = \frac{v_1}{\cos \gamma}$$

9. Recalculate the design contact stress  $[\sigma_c]$  for the actual  $v_s$ , using Table 8.10.

10. Revise  $K$ ,  $K_d$  and  $[M_t]$  for the actual velocity of the worm wheel ( $v_2$ ).

11. Check for bending:

✓ Calculate the induced bending stress using the equation 8.29.

✓ Compare the induced bending stress with the design bending stress.

✓ If  $\sigma_b \leq [\sigma_b]$ , then the design is satisfactory.

12. If  $\sigma_b > [\sigma_b]$ , then the design is not satisfactory. Then increase the axial module.

13. Check for wear strength:

✓ Calculate the induced contact stress using the equation 8.30.

✓ Compare the induced contact stress with the design contact stress.

✓ If  $\sigma_c \leq [\sigma_c]$ , then the design is safe and satisfactory.

14. Check for efficiency:

✓ If  $\eta_{\text{calculated}} \geq \eta_{\text{desired}}$ , then the design is satisfactory.

✓ Otherwise increase the lead angle  $\gamma$ .

15. Calculate the power loss and the area required to dissipate the heat.

16. Calculate all the basic dimensions of the worm and worm wheel using the equations listed in Table 8.2.

**Example 8.15** A steel worm running at 240 r.p.m. receives 1.5 kW from its shaft. The speed reduction is 10 : 1. Design the drive so as to have an efficiency of 80%. Also determine the cooling area required, if the temperature rise is restricted to 45 °C. Take overall heat transfer coefficient as 10 W/m<sup>2</sup> °C.



**Given Data:**  $N_1 = 240$  r.p.m.;  $P = 1.5$  kW;  $i = 10$ ;  $\eta_{\text{desired}} = 80\%$ ;  $t_o - t_a = 45^\circ\text{C}$ ;  $K_f = 10$  W/m<sup>2</sup> °C.

**To find:**

1. Design the worm gear drive, and
2. The cooling area required (A).

**Solution:**  $N_2 = N_1 / i = 240 / 10 = 24$  r.p.m.

1. **Selection of material:** Worm – Steel

... (Given)

Wheel – Bronze (sand cast), selected from Tables 8.3 and 8.9.

2. **Calculation of initial design wheel torque  $[M_t]$ :**

We know that,

$$[M_t] = M_t \times K \times K_d$$

where

$$M_t = \text{Wheel torque} = \frac{60 \times P}{2\pi N_2} = \frac{60 \times 1.5 \times 10^3}{2\pi \times 24}$$

$$= 596.83 \text{ N-m}$$

$$K \cdot K_d = 1, \text{ assumed initially.}$$

$\therefore$  Design wheel torque,  $[M_t] = 596.83 \times 1 = 596.83 \text{ N-m}$

3. **Selection of  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ :**

✓ For  $\eta = 80\%$ ,  $z_1 = 3$  or 4, from Table 8.4. Here  $z_1 = 3$  is selected.

✓ Then  $z_2 = i \times z_1 = 10 \times 3 = 30$ .

4. **Selection of  $[\sigma_b]$  and  $[\sigma_c]$ :**

✓ For bronze wheel,  $\sigma_u < 390 \text{ N/mm}^2$ ,  $[\sigma_b] = 50 \text{ N/mm}^2$  is selected, for rotation in one direction, from Table 8.9.

✓ From Table 8.10,  $[\sigma_c] = 159 \text{ N/mm}^2$  is selected, assuming  $v_s = 3 \text{ m/s}$ .

5. **Calculation of centre distance (a):**

We know that,

$$a = [(z_2/q) + 1] \sqrt[3]{\left[ \frac{540}{(z_2/q) [\sigma_c]} \right]^2 \frac{[M_t]}{10}}$$

where

$$q = 11, \text{ initially chosen.}$$

$$\therefore a = [(30/11) + 1] \sqrt[3]{\left[ \frac{540}{(30/11) 159} \right]^2 \times \frac{596.83 \times 10^3}{10}}$$

$$a = 168.6 \text{ mm}$$

6. Calculation of axial module ( $m_x$ ):

$$m_x = \frac{2a}{(q + z_2)} = \frac{2 \times 168.6}{(11 + 30)} = 8.22 \text{ mm}$$

From Table 5.8, the nearest higher standard axial module is 10 mm.

7. Revision of centre distance ( $a$ ):

$$a = 0.5 m_x (q + z_2) = 0.5 \times 10 (11 + 30) = 205 \text{ mm}$$

8. Calculation of  $d$ ,  $v$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $v_s$ :

✓ Pitch diameters:  $d_1 = q \times m_x = 11 \times 10 = 110 \text{ mm}$ ; and

$$d_2 = z_2 \times m_x = 30 \times 10 = 300 \text{ mm}.$$

✓ Pitch line velocity:  $v_1 = \frac{\pi d_1 N_1}{60} = \frac{\pi \times 110 \times 10^{-3} \times 240}{60} = 1.382 \text{ m/s}$ ; and

$$v_2 = \frac{\pi d_2 N_2}{60} = \frac{\pi \times 300 \times 10^{-3} \times 24}{60} = 0.377 \text{ m/s}.$$

✓ Lead angle:  $\gamma = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{z_1}{q} \right) = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{3}{11} \right) = 15.25^\circ$

✓ Sliding velocity:  $v_s = \frac{v_1}{\cos \gamma} = \frac{1.382}{\cos 15.25^\circ} = 1.432 \text{ m/s}$

9. Recalculation of design contact stress [ $\sigma_c$ ]:

For  $v_s = 1.432 \text{ m/s}$ ,  $[\sigma_c] \approx 172 \text{ N/mm}^2$ , from Table 8.10.

10. Revision of [ $M_t$ ]:

✓ For  $v_2 < 3 \text{ m/s}$ ,  $K_d = 1$

$$\therefore [M_t] = M_t \times K \cdot K_d = 596.83 \times 1 \times 1 = 596.83 \text{ N-m}$$

## 11. Check for bending: We know that the induced bending stress,

$$[\sigma_b] = \frac{1.9 [M_t]}{m_x^3 \times q \times z_2 \times y_v}$$

where  $y_v$  = Form factor based on virtual number of teeth, from Table 5.13.

$$z_v = \frac{z}{\cos^3 \gamma} = \frac{30}{\cos^3 15.25^\circ} \approx 34$$

$$\therefore y_v \approx 0.452, \text{ for } z_v = 34, \text{ from Table 8.7.}$$

Then,  $\sigma_b = \frac{1.9 \times 596.83 \times 10^3}{(10)^3 \times 11 \times 30 \times 0.452} = 7.6 \text{ N/mm}^2$

We find  $\sigma_b < [\sigma_b]$ , thus the design is satisfactory against bending.



12. Check for wear : We know that the induced contact stress,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_c &= \frac{540}{(z_2/q)} \sqrt{\left[ \frac{(z_2/q) + 1}{a} \right]^3 \times \frac{[M_t]}{10}} \\ &= \frac{540}{(30/11)} \sqrt{\left[ \frac{(30/11) + 1}{205} \right]^3 \times \frac{596.83 \times 10^3}{10}} \\ &= 118.59 \text{ N/mm}^2\end{aligned}$$

We find  $\sigma_c < [\sigma_c]$ , thus the design is satisfactory against wear.

13. Check for efficiency :

$$\eta_{\text{actual}} = 0.95 \times \frac{\tan \gamma}{\tan (\gamma + \rho)}$$

where  $\mu = 0.05$ , for  $v_s = 1.432 \text{ m/s}$  and bronze wheel, from graph 8.7.

$$\therefore \rho = \tan^{-1}(\mu) = \tan^{-1}(0.05) = 2.862^\circ$$

$$\text{Then, } \eta_{\text{actual}} = 0.96 \times \frac{\tan 15.25^\circ}{\tan (15.25^\circ + 2.862^\circ)} = 80\%$$

We find  $\eta_{\text{actual}} = \eta_{\text{desired}}$ , thus the design is satisfactory.

14. Calculation of cooling area required (A) : We know that,

$$(1 - \eta) \times \text{Input power} = K_t \times A (t_o - t_a)$$

$$(1 - 0.8) \times 1.5 \times 10^3 = 10 \times A \times 45^\circ$$

or Cooling area required,  $A = 0.666 \text{ m}^2$

15. Calculation of basic dimensions of the worm and worm gear : Refer Table 8.2.

- ✓ Axial module :  $m_x = 10 \text{ mm}$
- ✓ Number of starts :  $z_1 = 3$
- ✓ Number of teeth on worm wheel :  $z_2 = 30$
- ✓ Length of worm :  $L \geq (12.5 + 0.09 \times z_2) m_x$ , from Table 8.5.  
 $\geq (12.5 + 0.09 \times 30) 10 = 152 \text{ mm}$
- ✓ Centre distance :  $a = 205 \text{ mm}$
- ✓ Face width :  $b = 0.75 d_1 = 0.75 \times 110 = 82.5 \text{ mm}$
- ✓ Height factor :  $f_0 = 1$
- ✓ Bottom clearance :  $c = 0.25 m_x = 0.25 \times 10 = 2.5 \text{ mm}$
- ✓ Pitch diameter :  $d_1 = 110 \text{ mm}$  ; and  $d_2 = 300 \text{ mm}$ .

✓ Tip diameter :  $d_{a1} = d_1 + 2 f_0 \cdot m_x = 110 + 2 \times 1 \times 10 = 130 \text{ mm}$  ; and

$$d_{a2} = (z_2 + 2 f_0) m_x = (30 + 2 \times 1) 10 = 320 \text{ mm}$$

✓ Root diameter :  $d_{f1} = d_1 - 2 f_0 \cdot m_x - 2 \times c$   
 $= 110 - 2 \times 1 \times 10 - 2 \times 2.5 = 85 \text{ mm}$  ; and

$$d_{f2} = (z_2 - 2 f_0) m_x - 2 \times c$$
$$= (30 - 2 \times 1) 10 - 2 \times 2.5 = 275 \text{ mm}$$

8.43  
PSG